CHAVRUTA SHABBAT — DAF KUF MEM

Translated by: Chavruta staff of scholars Edited by: R. Shmuel Globus

Because it is only done to dye the mustard. Since the two parts of the egg are both

regarded as being part of the same general type, it is not a forbidden act of selecting to

take one from the other. (Had one been edible, and the other not, they could not be

regarded as being the same type.) Therefore, Yaakov Korcha here points out that the

only difference between them is that one is useful a food dye and the other is not, which

is not enough of a difference to classify them as two different types.

It was said in a statement of Amoraim: In regards to mustard that they kneaded on

Friday, in what way would it be permitted to complete the kneading on **the next day?**

Said Rav: He should mash it with a utensil, but not by hand. The mustard is mashed

better when the mashing is done by hand, and therefore must not be done that way on

Shabbat.

Said Shmuel to him: What do you mean by saying that one may not mash the mustard

by hand? Do you think that every day of the regular week he mashes it by hand? That

would be mere food for donkeys! Since one does not normally mash mustard by hand,

using this method should be sufficiently different to permit it on Shabbat.

Rather, said Shmuel: He may mash it by hand, but not with a utensil.

It was said in a statement of Amoraim: Rabbi Elazar said: Both this way, by hand,

and that way, with a utensil, it is forbidden to mash mustard.

And Rabbi Yochanan says: Both this way and that way, it is permitted to mash

mustard.

Abaye and Rava both say: The Halachah is not like Rabbi Yochanan.

Rabbi Yochanan retracted his original statement, and established himself in the

position of Rabbi Elazar. He declared that it is forbidden to mash mustard whether by

hand or with a utensil.

*

Rabbi Elazar retracted his original statement, and established himself in the position of

Shmuel. He declared that it is permitted to mash mustard with a utensil, but not by hand.

When they heard that Rabbi Yochanan had retracted his original statement, Abaye and

Rava both said that the Halachah is like Rabbi Yochanan. It is forbidden to mash

mustard whether by means of a utensil or by hand.¹

The mother of Abaye made some mustard for Abaye on Shabbat, and he did not eat it.

The wife of Zeira made some mustard for Rav Chiya the son of Ashi on Shabbat, and

he did not eat it.

She said to him: I made mustard for your master, my husband, and he ate it, but you

don't eat it? Since your master held that it is permitted to make mustard on Shabbat, you

should follow his practice.

CHAVRUTA

Said Rava the son of Sh'va: I was once standing in front of Ravina, and I mashed mustard for him, using the central part of some garlic, and he ate it. But he would not have eaten it if it had been mashed by hand.

*

Said Mar Zutra: The Halachah is not like all of these reports. The practical Halachah does not follow the reports above, according to which various Amoraim forbade mashing mustard on Shabbat. Rather, it is like that which was said in another statement of Amoraim: Mustard which one kneaded on Friday, the next day he may mash it either by hand or with a utensil, and he may put honey into it. He may not beat it, but should only stir it.

Cress that he pounded on Friday, the next day he may put it into oil and vinegar, and put *Amita* into it. What is *Amita*? Mint.

Said Abaye: Hear from this a proof—mint is good for mixing into cress.

It was stated in the Mishnah: And he may make anomalin (wine mixed with honey) on Shabbat.

The Rabbis taught in a Baraita: He may make wine anomalin, but he may not make aluntit.

¹ Although Rabbi Yochanan lived generations before Abaye and Rava, evidently they did not hear of his

What is anomalin, and what is aluntit?

Anomalin is wine with honey and spices.

Aluntit is old wine with clear water and balsamum, which is made for medical

purposes, used to cool off. After using the bathhouse, one who is overheated could

drink *aluntit* to help him cool off.

Said Ray Yosef: One time I followed Mar Ukva into the bathhouse. When I left, he

gave me a cup of aluntit wine to drink, and I felt its coolness from the hairs of my

head to my toenails. If he had given me another cup to drink, and I survived, I would

be concerned that they had deducted from my merits in the world-to-come. Rav

Yosef considered aluntit to be such a powerful drink that drinking two cups might place

him in mortal danger, requiring a miracle to save him.

The Gemara asks: But Mar Ukva used to drink aluntit every day! If it is so powerful a

drink, why wasn't Mar Ukva concerned that his merits might be deducted?

The Gemara answers: Mar Ukva is different, because he was used to it.

Mishnah

One may not soak the resin of asafetida in warm water on Shabbat in order to drink

the water as a medicine. **But one may put** it **into vinegar**, in order to dip foods into it.

final position until after they discussed his original position.

CHAVRUTA

*

And one may not soak horse-beans² in water in order to rid them of the undesirable parts, nor may one rub them by hand in order to strip them of the undesirable parts.

Either process would be "selecting", one of the forbidden forms of work.

However, one may put them into a sieve or a basket even though the undesirable parts

of the horse-beans may fall out through the openings of the container. Since his intention

is not to sort out and remove the undesirable parts, this is permitted.

One may not sift wheat with a sieve in order to remove the chaff, and one may not put

it i.e. the wheat on a high and windy place in order that the chaff fall off. But he may

put it in a sieve, and then put it directly from the sieve into the feeding trough. Since

he is only using the sieve as a vessel in which to transport the wheat, and does not intend

to remove the chaff, this is permitted.

Gemara

The Mishnah taught that we may not soak the resin of asafetida in warm water on

Shabbat.

They the scholars of the study hall **posed an inquiry:** If he did **soak** it, **what** is the law?

² A type of animal fodder.

Ray Ada Narsha'ah explained it in the presence of Ray Yosef: If he soaked it, he is

liable for transgressing a Torah prohibition, and must bring a sin-offering, for he has

transgressed the prohibition against cooking on Shabbat.

Said Abaye to him: But now, if we say like this, someone who soaked raw meat in

water should also be liable. If merely warm water can "cook" the resin of asafetida,

why can't cold water "cook" meat?

Rather, said Abaye: The prohibition is only Rabbinic, in order that one not do it the

same way as he does during the week.

Rabbi Yochanan posed an inquiry to Rabbi Yannai: What is the law in regards to

soaking the resin of asafetida in cold water?

He said to him: It is forbidden.

Rabbi Yochanan replied: But we learned in the Mishnah that one may not soak the

resin of asafetida in warm water on Shabbat. Note that that in cold water, apparently it

should be **permitted.**

Rabbi Yannai said to him: If so, if it is true that I am not better able to explain the

Mishnah than you, what difference is there between us? Why are you my disciple? The

answer to your question is that **our Mishnah is** the view of an **individual.** The majority

of Sages disagree with this Mishnah, and the Halachah is in accordance with their view.

For it was taught in a Baraita that one may not soak the resin of asafetida either in

hot water or cold water. Rabbi Yosi says that in hot water it is forbidden, but in cold

water it is **permitted.** Our Mishnah is in accordance with the view of Rabbi Yosi, but the

Halachah is in accordance with the opinion of the Sages who disagree with him.

The Gemara explains the purpose of soaking the resin of asafetida. What do they make it for? To soothe heart pain.

Rav Acha the son of Yosef had heart pain, and came to Mar Ukva for advice. He said to him: Go and drink three golden coins worth of water made from soaking resin of asafetida, i.e. a volume of asafetida water that weighs as much as three golden coins, for three days.

He went and drank it on Thursday and Friday. On Shabbat morning, he went and asked in the House of Study whether or not he may drink it. Generally, it is forbidden by Rabbinic law to undergo medical treatments on Shabbat.

They said to him: A Baraita was taught in the school of Rav Ada, and some say that a Baraita was taught in the school of Mar the son of Rav Ada. A man may drink even one or two kabin³ of asafetida water on Shabbat, and not be concerned. There is no desecration of Shabbat involved.

He said to them: To drink it is not a question to me. Since healthy people drink asafetida water, it is not obvious that it is a medical treatment, and therefore it is certainly permitted for sick people to drink it on Shabbat. That which is a question to me is in regards to soaking the resin of asafetida in water. What is the Halachah?

*

Rav Chiya the son of Avin said to them: With me, there was such an event i.e. this happened to me, too, and I came and asked it of Rav Ada the son of Ahavah, and he

_

 $^{^{3}}$ Kav = 2.9 pints or 1.4 liters.

had no answer in his hands. I came and asked it of Rav Huna, and he said: This is what Rav said. You may soak the resin of asafetida in cold water, and then place the cold water in hot water, in order to make it warm.

The Gemara clarifies Rav's position. Did Rav rule **like** the one **who permitted** soaking resin of asafetida in cold water, and then permit warming up the water in hot water because he holds that it is permitted to warm one liquid by mixing it with another liquid?

The Gemara says: No. We may not infer his position in general from this case. **Even according to** the one **who forbade** soaking resin of asafetida in cold water, this is still permitted, because **these words** that the soaking is forbidden refer to **a case where he did not drink** it **at all. But here, since he drank it on Thursday and Friday, if he will not drink** it **on Shabbat, he will be endangered.** Nevertheless, since the asafetida water can be made in an unusual fashion, Ray does require that it be made in an unusual fashion.

*

When he grew old, Rav Acha the son of Yosef was walking along, leaning on the shoulder of Rav Nachman the son of Yitzchak, his nephew. Rav Acha the son of Yosef said to him: When we arrive at the school of Rav Safra, take me in to see him.

When they arrived, he took him in.

Rav Acha the son of Yosef **posed an inquiry to** Rav Safra: **What** is the Halachah of **rubbing an** already laundered **linen** shirt **on Shabbat?** Do we assume that **he intends to soften it, and it is fine, or perhaps he intends to whiten it** further, **and** it is **forbidden** by Rabbinic decree?

He said to him: He intends to soften it, and it is fine.

*

When Rav Acha left and came out, Rav Nachman said to him: What did the master

i.e. Rav Acha inquire of him?

He said to him: I inquired of him: what is the Halachah regarding rubbing an already

laundered linen shirt on Shabbat, and he said to me that it is fine.

Rav Nachman said to him: Did the master inquire of him whether or not one may rub a

scarf?

Rav Acha replied: In regards to a scarf, I did not inquire. For I once inquired that of

Rav Huna, and he answered me that it is forbidden.

*

Rav Nachman replied: Why did you not answer the master from the Halachah

regarding a scarf? Why did you not question Rav Safra's ruling concerning a shirt, based

on Rav Huna's ruling concerning a scarf?

He said to him: The two cases cannot be compared. There, in the case of the scarf, it

looks more like whitening the scarf. Since a scarf is worn on the head and is more

visible, people are more particular about its appearance. Here, in the case of the shirt,

people are not as particular, and it does not look as much like whitening it⁴.

⁴ Shirts were worn under the robe.

CHAVRUTA

Ammud Bet

Said Rav Chisda: It is permitted to pull a shirt from the rod upon which it had been

hanging to dry. Even though the rod itself is muktzeh⁵, it is permitted since he is only

moving the rod indirectly, by moving the shirt.

But to pull **the rod** out **of it** is **forbidden.** Since these types of rods are only used once,

and afterwards are consigned to firewood, they are muktzeh.

Said Rava: And if it is the tool of weavers, it is permitted to pull the rod out of the

shirt.

Said Rav Chisda: In regards to a bundle of vegetables—if it is fit for animal fodder,

it is **permitted to move** it, **and if not,** it is **forbidden** to move it.

Said Rav Chiya the son of Ashi in the name of Rav: In regards to a rope with raw,

salted meat hanging on it, it is permitted to move it. Since the meat is minimally

edible, the meat and the rope from which it is hanging are not muktzeh. However, a rope

that has fish hanging from it is forbidden to move. Since raw fish are not at all edible,

the fish and the rope are both muktzeh.

Said Rav Katina: One who stands on the middle of a bed on which a man and wife

regularly sleep on, it brings him to improper thoughts, as if he is standing on the

abdomen of the woman.

⁵ Forbidden to move, by rabbinic decree.

The Gemara rejects this statement: **It is not a** valid **matter** i.e. this is not true.

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple who wishes to buy a vegetable of the type normally sold in bundles should buy a long bundle, because one bundle is like another bundle; the price per bundle is set by width, not length. This way, the extra length will be acquired by him automatically, without extra pay.

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple who wishes to buy a bundle of reeds should buy a long bundle, because the burden of one is like the burden of another. It is not harder to carry a bundle of long reeds than to carry a bundle of short reeds. Therefore, the length he will automatically receive.

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple who does not have much bread should not eat vegetables, because it increases his appetite, and he will run out of bread.

*

And said Rav Chisda: I did not eat vegetables while I was poor, and I did not eat vegetables while I was prosperous. When I was poor I did not eat vegetables because they increase the appetite. When I was prosperous I did not eat vegetables because I said instead of putting vegetables into my stomach, I would be better off putting meat and fish into my stomach.

*

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple who does not have much bread should not eat little by little, but rather eat his food all at once, in order to become full.

*

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple who does not have much bread should not cut off

pieces to distribute to others.

Why? Because he will not do it generously. Since he lacks sufficient bread for himself,

he will surely not give his guests a decent amount.

And said Rav Chisda: I, originally, before I became prosperous, would not cut off

pieces of bread to distribute to others until I sent my hand i.e. examined the entire

vessel that the bread was in, and found in it enough for myself.

And said Rav Chisda: Someone that could get by eating barley bread, which is a

cheap type of bread, and eats wheat bread, which is more expensive, has violated the

prohibition of "do not waste."

And said Ray Papa: Someone that could get by drinking beer, which is cheap, and

drinks wine, which is expensive, has violated the prohibition of "do not waste."

The Gemara rejects this. It is not a valid matter i.e. this is not the Halachah. How can

you call this a violation of the prohibition of "do not waste?" Since it is for the body, it

is **better.** Since wheat bread is healthier than barley bread, and wine is healthier than

beer, eating wheat bread and drinking wine cannot be considered wasteful.

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple who wishes to buy meat should buy meat from the throat of the animal, because it has three types of meat. The throat has fat meat, lean meat, and the meat of the spinal column.

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple who wishes to buy a linen shirt (kitunita) should buy one from Nahar Abba⁶, and launder it every thirty days, and it will last for twelve months of the year. I guarantee it.

The Gemara clarifies Rav Chisda's remark. **What** is the meaning of "kitunita?" It means "kitah na'eh," "a nice group." This is a shirt which is fit for wearing in good company.

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple should not sit on a new reed mat, because it will destroy his clothing i.e. cause them to wear out faster.

*

And said Rav Chisda: A disciple should not send his clothing to the innkeeper to launder for him, for it is not proper behavior. Perhaps she will see something unpleasant on his clothing, and come to speak contemptuously of him. He will thereby be guilty of causing her to speak poorly of someone who learns Torah.

*	*	*

⁶ A place.

Said Rav Chisda to his daughters: Be modest in the presence of your husbands. Do not eat bread in the presence of your husbands, lest you eat too much and they look down at you. Do not eat vegetables at night, because it causes bad breath. Do not eat dates at night, and do not drink beer at night, because they cause flatulence. Do not relieve yourselves in the same place where your husbands relieve themselves, lest they come to look down at you. And when a man calls from the front door, do not say "mano" i.e., "who is it" in the masculine tense, but rather say "mani" i.e., "who is it" in the feminine tense, in order that you not become habituated to speaking with men.

When your husbands wish to be intimate with you and they take the gem⁷ in one hand, and touch the pit⁸ with the other hand, make the gem available to them, but not the pit, until they have discomfort due to the delay. This is in order to increase their desire for you. And then make the pit available to them.

It was stated in the Mishnah: **And one may not soak horse-beans**, but one may put them in a sieve to carry them.

The Gemara says: Our Mishnah which states that one may carry horse-beans in a sieve is not like this Tanna. For it was taught in a Baraita: Rabbi Eliezer the son of Yaakov says: One may not use a sieve at all on Shabbat.

⁷ I.e. the breasts

Mishnah

One may clean out the feeding trough from before a bull that is being fattened. This is done out of concern that the bull might otherwise refuse to eat.

And one may move the straw to the sides when there is too much straw in the trough, because it might otherwise be polluted with excrement—the words of Rabbi Dosa.

But the Sages forbid it.

*

One may take fodder from before this animal i.e. from one feeding trough and put it before that animal i.e. into another feeding trough on Shabbat.

Gemara

They the scholars of the study hall **posed an inquiry:** Do the Sages differ with the first clause of the Mishnah, and forbid cleaning out a feeding trough on Shabbat? The possible reason to forbid this is that some feeding troughs are simply dug out of the ground, and in the course of cleaning such a trough, one might smooth out holes in its walls, which would violate the *melachah* of *boneh*, Building. Or perhaps they differ with the end i.e. middle clause of the Mishnah, and forbid moving the fodder to the sides of the trough? For once the animal has trampled on the fodder, it is no longer edible even for animals, and is *muktzeh*. Or perhaps they differ with both clauses?

⁸ I.e. the private parts

The Gemara answers: Come and hear a proof that they differ with both. For it was

taught in a Baraita: And the Sages say: both this i.e. cleaning out the feeding trough

from foreign elements and that i.e. moving the actual fodder he may not move to the

sides. We see that the Sages disagree with both of these clauses of the Mishnah.

Said Rav Chisda: The disagreement applies only to a feeding trough that is built into

the ground. But a feeding trough that is a separate utensil, both agree that it is

permitted. Since smoothing out holes is not a concern, there is no reason for the Sages

to disagree with Rabbi Dosa in such a case.

The Gemara questions this. As regards a **feeding trough** that is built into **the ground, is**

there anyone that permits cleaning it out? He would certainly smooth holes in the

process!

Rather, if it was said, it was said like this. Said Rav Chisda: The disagreement

applies only to a feeding trough that is a separate utensil. But a feeding trough that is

built into the ground, everyone agrees that it is forbidden.

It was stated in the Mishnah: One may take fodder from before this animal...

One Tanna taught in a Baraita: One may take fodder from before an animal that has

a nice mouth, and put the fodder before an animal that has a bad mouth. The other

Tanna taught in a different Baraita: One may take fodder from before an animal that

has a bad mouth, and put the fodder before an animal that has a nice mouth.

⁹ This will be explained later.

*

Said Abaye: Both Baraitot agree regards taking fodder from before a donkey, which does not drool on its food, and putting it before a bull, which does drool on its food, that we may take the fodder. Since the donkey does not drool on its food, the bull will not consider it disgusting and inedible. But as regards taking fodder from before a bull, that does drool on its food, and putting it before a donkey, we may not take the fodder. Since the bull drools on its food, the donkey will consider it disgusting and inedible. Therefore, moving the fodder would be an "unnecessary effort" on Shabbat, which is forbidden.

And as concerns that which was taught in the Baraita, that "one may take fodder from before an animal that has a nice mouth," the reference is to a donkey, which does not drool. "And put the fodder before an animal that has a bad mouth," the reference is to a cow, that does drool.